1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY ) No. 15 C 275 COMMISSION,
4	Commission,  Chicago, Illinois  Plaintiff,  June 11, 2015
5	9:15 o'clock a.m.
6	AEROTEK, INC.,
7	Defendants.
8	Detellualités. )
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - MOTION BEFORE THE HONORABLE MILTON I. SHADUR
10	APPEARANCES:
11	For the Plaintiff: EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
12	500 West Madison Street Suite 2000
13	Chicago, Illinois 60661 BY: MS. LAURA R. FELDMAN
14	DI. HO. EKOIVER. I ELDIVIV
15	For the Defendant: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 131 South Dearborn Street
16	Suite 2400 Chicago, Illinois 60603
17	BY: MR. ANDREW L. SCROGGINS
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Court Reporter: ROSEMARY SCARPELLI 219 South Dearborn Street
24	Room 2304A Chicago, Illinois 60604
25	(312) 435-5815

1 THE CLERK: 15 C 275, EEOC versus Aerotek. 2 MS. FELDMAN: Thank you for your flexibility, your My name is Laura Feldman on behalf of the EEOC. 3 Honor. 4 MR. SCROGGINS: Good morning, Andy Scroggins on 5 behalf of Aerotek. 6 THE COURT: Good morning. What is the progress of 7 the -- that has been created by the stay? What is going on? 8 MR. SCROGGINS: Well, your Honor, we are appealing 9 to the 7th Circuit on one narrow aspect of your order. Our 10 brief is due on Monday. And we have made a limited 11 production following your order of some of the data. 12 THE COURT: What is the aspect that you dealing 13 with on appeal? 14 MR. SCROGGINS: Specifically the order to produce 15 the client names for all clients associated with the 62 16 locations in the subpoena. We have gone ahead and produced 17 the names and contact information of employees and candidates 18 for employment, which was the other aspect of your order. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So all I will do is obviously --20 because there is a stay in place, right, or not? 21 MR. SCROGGINS: I believe it is. 22 MR. FELDMAN: There is a stay in place only if you 23 choose to have a stay in place, your Honor. That is up to 24 you. 25 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you. I don't want to

punt to the 7th Circuit. Is there any reason that other production can't proceed or has it -- or has it not?

MR. SCROGGINS: Well, with respect to the subpoena the only issue that is left are those client names. Now, there is -- there is nothing in our request or any order from the Court that would stop other aspects of the EEOC's investigation. The motion for stay that we filed is really limited to the production of that bit of information that is still in dispute while we take it up to the 7th Circuit. But we have not asked for a more general stay, your Honor.

THE COURT: See, one of the difficulties that is created by this is that I am -- I am not certain from our earlier proceeding just what it is that -- other than saying, "We don't want to do it," that justifies Aerotek's objection to the -- to the production of the names. Is it that it is unduly burdensome, that it discloses confidential material? What is it that --

MR. SCROGGINS: Relevance.

THE COURT: -- you are really complaining about?

MR. SCROGGINS: Relevance. Relevance.

MR. FELDMAN: They have produced almost all of the information. And the relevance of the client information is we need to know if clients are making discriminatory requests that Aerotek is thus obliging. And they have already produced all of the clients, so it is like one -- we have one

1 of -- they already produced the names of the employees, so we 2 have one piece of the puzzle without the other. 3 So in terms of harm to EEOC, it impacts our ability to investigate. And this has been going on for --4 5 THE COURT: Months. 6 MS. FELDMAN: -- over a year we have been seeking 7 this information. 8 Months. THE COURT: 9 MR. FELDMAN: And if your Honor decides not to 10 continue the stay, Aerotek has provided that they will go to 11 the 7th Circuit to seek a stay. And if they don't grant a 12 stay, it may just be that the issue is moot and then the 13 clients will have to be produced. So maybe the 7th Circuit 14 can just dispense with all of this. 15 THE COURT: So I suppose that the appropriate thing 16 to do to permit you to tee it up, although I am troubled by 17 that as further delay --18 MR. FELDMAN: Yeah. 19 THE COURT: -- is to deny the stay. And so you 20 tendered that issue to the Court of Appeals, right? 21 MR. SCROGGINS: And request that they enter a stay, 22 your Honor? Well, the comment to that is --23 THE COURT: You know, I don't understand. It is 24 very amorphous the way in which you are putting it. 25 MR. SCROGGINS: Well --

THE COURT: You want -- you want to tender an issue 1 2 to the Court of Appeals, but you don't -- but when I say, 3 "Okay, well, I will deny the stay," you are objecting to 4 that, although I think that is probably necessary to make it 5 a ripe issue before the Court of Appeals. Right? 6 MR. SCROGGINS: No, your Honor. Your -- your Honor 7 has entered an order that forces us to comply with the 8 subpoena. 9 THE COURT: Yeah, I understand that. 10 MR. SCROGGINS: And we have appealed to the 7th 11 Circuit. If -- without a stay we have to produce the 12 information while we wait an appeal, at which point --13 THE COURT: No. You can go to the Court of Appeals 14 and say, "Stop them," you know. 15 MR. SCROGGINS: Well, that's correct, but your 16 Honor also has the authority to enter that stay pending the 17 decision. 18 THE COURT: Because again I think that you are 19 really dealing with it in sort of a circular way. 20 to focus it before the Court of Appeals, it seems to me that 21 the order at this stage has to be comply. When you go to the 22 Court of Appeals and say, "The District Court was wrong in 23 directing us to comply, and here is why" -- if I don't do 24 that, what issue do you have for the Court of Appeals? 25 MR. SCROGGINS: Well, we still have your underlying

order telling us to comply. It is just that you have stayed the effective date of that order pending the 7th Circuit's ruling on the question.

THE COURT: I understand. Again I don't think -- I

don't -- again I am not sure that that really makes it ripe unless I say, "No, I won't." So simply in order to permit you to present the issue to the Court of Appeals in an appropriate way, I think that probably what has to be done is say -- deny the motion to stay. You go up there on a motion that says stay. And be my guest. How they will resolve it, I don't know. That is up to them.

MR. SCROGGINS: Well, your Honor, I will say that in the prior subpoena enforcement action that we had with the EEOC a few years ago they didn't request a stay -- or we did not require a stay at the District Court or at the 7th Circuit, and the 7th Circuit did hear the case. Here for reasons that aren't clear to us the EEOC has told us --

THE COURT: I don't know anything about that. Hopefully it is not mine I think.

MR. FELDMAN: Separate case.

MR. SCROGGINS: I am glad you say that now.

I suppose we will have to ask for it, if that is your Honor's decision, but I believe that you have the authority in this Court to also stay the effective date of the order.

THE COURT: I know I do, but I think you are -- again that is why you are circular. You are -- if -- under your position what you would be presenting is a hypothetical, I think, to the Court of Appeals. Whereas if you want the issue focused, I guess the way to do that is for me to deny the stay and you be my guest, go to the Court of Appeals and say, "We think the District Court was wrong and we want you to stay the matter while we are arguing about it in a substantive appeal." And I haven't heard you respond exactly to that.

MR. SCROGGINS: Well, I don't believe it is a hypothetical issue, your Honor, because we do have the order.

THE COURT: Listen, I don't want -- I don't want to predict what the Court of Appeals is or is not likely to do in connection with it. What I am saying is that in your own interests if you want to present the issue, the way that you know that the issue will be presented is if you take it to the Court of Appeals.

Is there anything unclear in what I have told you?

MR. SCROGGINS: Apparently there is, your Honor. I

am not following you because as I understand it we would be

appealing to the 7th Circuit your entry of the order to

comply with the subpoena. I think that issue is ripe based

on -- on that prior decision. And the -- we don't require

the denial of the stay in order to have a ripe issue for the

1 Court of Appeals to hear. 2 So perhaps I am misunderstanding something, but I 3 -- I 4 THE COURT: I think so. I think so. 5 MR. SCROGGINS: Maybe. 6 THE COURT: I will -- again I will deny the stay in 7 order to permit you to present that issue in sharp focus at 8 the Court of Appeals level in connection with your appeal. 9 And I don't want to sound as though I am doing the thing on 10 an arbitrary basis. It is really I think in your interest to 11 have the issue focused before them in a way that is clearly 12 in controversy. 13 So that is the answer. I am denying the motion to 14 stay. And I invite you, because I think that it is in --15 again in your interest to tender the issue to the Court of 16 Appeals. 0kay? 17 Thank you both. 18 MR. SCROGGINS: Thank you. 19 MR. FELDMAN: Thank you. 20 (Which were all the proceedings heard.) 21 CERTIFICATE 22 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript 23 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 24 25 s/Rosemary Scarpelli/ Date: June 11, 2015